Progression to metastatic stage in a cellular model of prostate cancer is associated with methylation of the androgen receptor gene and transcriptional suppression of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor gene
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ABSTRACT

The progression of prostate cancer from an organ-confined, androgen-sensitive disease to a metastatic one is associated with dysregulation of androgen receptor (AR)-regulated target genes and with a decrease in insulin-like growth factor-I receptor (IGF1R) expression. DNA methylation of CpG islands is an epigenetic mechanism associated with gene silencing. Recent studies have demonstrated that methylation occurs early in prostate carcinogenesis and, furthermore, may contribute to androgen independence. The methylation status of the AR and IGF1R genes was evaluated in a series of prostate cancer cell lines corresponding to early (benign) and advanced (metastatic) stages of the disease. Results of 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza) experiments, methylation-specific PCR, and sodium bisulfite-direct DNA sequencing revealed that the AR promoter is hypermethylated in metastatic M12, but not in benign P69, cells. On the other hand, no methylation was seen in the IGF1R promoter at any stage of the disease. We show, however, that 5-Aza treatment, which caused demethylation of the AR promoter, led to a significant increase in IGF1R mRNA levels, whereas addition of the AR inhibitor flutamide decreased the IGF1R mRNA levels to basal values measured prior to the 5-Aza treatment. Given that the IGF1R gene has been identified as a downstream target for AR action, our data is consistent with a model in which the AR gene undergoes methylation during progression of the disease, leading to dysregulation of AR targets, including the IGF1R gene, at advanced metastatic stages.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is a major health issue in the Western world. Approximately 80–90% of primary prostate tumors are strictly dependent on androgen action for tumor growth and development. The androgen receptor (AR), a member of the nuclear steroid receptor superfamily, is a key component of the androgen transduction cascade in responsive tissues [1]. Alterations in AR structure and expression are, in part, responsible for the progression of the tumors from an organ-confined, androgen-sensitive disease to a more aggressive, hormone-refractory, androgen-independent disease [2,3]. In addition, the progression of prostate cancer to advanced, metastatic stages is associated with dysregulation of AR-regulated target genes.
The insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) are a family of growth factors, binding proteins, and receptors that play a key role in regulating growth, resistance to apoptosis, and differentiation. The biological actions of the IGFs are mediated by their activation of the IGF1R, a transmembrane heterotrimer linked to the ras-raf-MAPK and PI3K-PKB/Akt signal transduction cascades [4–6]. The involvement of the IGF axis in tumorogenesis in general, and in prostate cancer in particular, has been the subject of extensive research [7–9]. Furthermore, the contribution of IGF1 action to prostate cancer development is supported by epidemiological studies showing a positive correlation between serum IGFI values and prostate cancer risk [10,11]. While most studies suggest an important role for IGF1 action in prostate cancer initiation, clinical and experimental evidence indicates that the progression of prostate cancer from an androgen-sensitive disease to a metastatic one is associated with a significant decrease in local IGF1R mRNA and protein levels [12,13]. Other studies, however, showed sustained upregulation of the IGF1R in metastases [14] and correlation between upregulation of IGF axis components and tumor grade [15].

Our comprehension of the joint regulation of the androgen and IGF1 signaling pathways in prostate cancer, however, is limited. Recent studies have identified the IGF1R gene as a downstream target for AR action in the prostate (Schayek et al., manuscript submitted). Specifically, activated wild type (wt), but not mutant, AR was shown to stimulate IGF1R expression. Furthermore, results of chromatin immunoprecipitation assays revealed that the mechanism of action of AR involves binding to the proximal IGF1R promoter. In addition, Pandini et al. [16] have shown that androgens selectively upregulate the IGF1R in AR positive cells through the activation of a non-genomic AR signaling pathway. On the other hand, a number of studies have established that IGF1 may affect AR signaling. Specifically, activation of the MAPK pathway by IGF1 was shown to sensitize the AR transcriptional complex to subphysiologic levels of androgens in LnCaP cells [17]. Analyses of the complex interactions between the IGF1R and AR pathways identified a number of transcription factors and signaling molecules involved in the control of this bi-directional hormonal interplay [18]. The involvement of epigenetic mechanisms in the regulation of the AR–IGF1R interactions in the prostate has not yet been investigated.

DNA methylation is a major epigenetic alteration affecting gene expression. Methylation involves the addition of methyl groups, catalyzed by DNA methyltransferase, to the 5-carbon of deoxycytosines in the palindromic dinucleotide CpG. Methylation of CpG islands leads to inactivation of gene transcription [19,20] and plays a critical role during development. CpG islands are mostly unmethylated in normal tissues and hypermethylated in various cancers [19,21,22]. Promoter CpG island hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes is a common hallmark of all human cancers and affects most cellular pathways. AR promoter hypermethylation and gene inactivation have been detected in about 8–28% of prostate tumors [23,24]. AR hypermethylation has been usually associated with advanced stages of the disease. However, little information exists regarding the impact of AR methylation on downstream targets expression.

Given the important roles of androgens, AR, and the IGF1 system in prostate cancer initiation and progression [25], we examined in the present study the hypothesis that methylation of the AR promoter constitutes a key event in prostate cancer progression, with important pathological consequences as a result of dysregulation of AR target genes. In addition, our study was aimed at elucidating the mechanism/s, including potential epigenetic changes, responsible for IGF1R silencing at advanced prostate cancer stages. Results obtained indicate that progression of prostate cancer from a benign, non-tumorigenic stage to an aggressive, metastatic one in a cellular model of prostate cancer is associated with specific AR promoter methylation. On the other hand, IGF1 gene silencing in tumorigenic and metastatic prostate cancer cells is not correlated with DNA hypermethylation of CpG dinucleotides in the proximal IGF1R promoter. Taken together, our data is consistent with a model in which IGF1R silencing, with ensuing impairment of IGF1 signaling, constitutes an important pathological outcome of AR promoter methylation.

Materials and methods

Cell cultures

Generation of the P69-derived series of prostatic carcinoma cell lines has been previously described [26,27]. Briefly, the P69 cell line was obtained by immortalization of prostate epithelial cells isolated from the prostate gland of a 63-yr old man with SV40 T antigen. P69 cells are responsive to IGF1 and are rarely tumorigenic. Cell lines M2205, M2182, and M12 were derived by injection of P69 cells into athymic nude mice and serial reimplantation of tumor nodules into nude mice. Cell lines M2205 and M2182 are tumorigenic but rarely to non-metastatic. M12 cells are highly metastatic and exhibit a reduced IGF1 responsiveness. Cells were cultured in serum-free conditions in RPMI-1640 medium. Cell lines were provided by Dr. Joy L. Ware (Medical College of Virginia). Human prostate cancer cell lines PC3, DU145, and C4-2 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection.

5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine analyses

To evaluate the methylation status of the IGF1R and AR genes, cells were cultured at low density for 24 h, after which treatment with the demethylating agent 5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-Aza; 1 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) was initiated. Cells were treated with 5-Aza for 3 days, with daily medium changes. Cells were then harvested and total protein was prepared for Western blots. All experiments were conducted in triplicate dishes and repeated at least three times.

Western immunoblots

5-Aza-treated cells were harvested with phosphate buffered saline containing 5 mM EDTA, and lysed in the presence of protease inhibitors. Samples (80 μg protein) were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE, followed by transfer of the proteins to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% milk and then incubated with anti-IGF1R (β-subunit (C20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-human AR (sc-7305), and anti-tubulin. Membranes were washed and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Proteins were detected using the SuperSignal West Pico® Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce).

DNA extraction and modification by sodium bisulfite

DNA was extracted from prostate cancer cell lines using a QIAamp DNA Mini kit. A total of 2 μg of genomic DNA was treated with DNA Mini kit. A total of 2 µg of genomic DNA was treated with DNA extraction and modification by sodium bisulfite
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sodium bisulfite as described [28]. Briefly, following DNA denatur-
ation in 0.3 M NaOH at 50 °C for 20 min, sodium bisulfite and
hydroquinone were added at final concentrations of 2.5 M and
125 mM, respectively. The bisulfite modification reaction was
performed at 55 °C for 16 h, after which the DNA was purified with
a Wizard DNA purification system (Promega), ethanol-precipitated,
dried, and resuspended in 100 μl of distilled water. Deamination of
Cs and conversion into Ts following sodium bisulfite treatment
indicate that the C nucleotide was unmethylated. Methylated Cs
remain unaltered following sodium bisulfite treatment.

**Sodium bisulfite-PCR methylation analysis**

For the analysis of the methylation status of the 5′-regulatory
regions of the IGF1R and AR genes, sodium bisulfite-PCR was
performed using a primer set (U) that anneals to unmethylated
dNA and another primer set (M) that anneals to methylated DNA.
Unmodified DNA was amplified with a wt primer set, which serves
as a positive control for PCR. PCR reactions contained 20 ng of
modified DNA, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.3 μM primers F and
R, and 0.5 U of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase. Amplifications
were performed using the following conditions: 94 °C for 10 min,
followed by 35 cycles (94 °C for 30 s, 43 °C (°C for 1 min, 72 °C
for 30 s) and then 72 °C for 2 min. The details of primers, PCR
conditions, and PCR product size for the AR and IGF1R promoters
are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Sodium bisulfite-PCR
products were directly sequenced using the BigDye terminator
method (Perkin-Elmer). In addition, the methylation status of the
following genes was assessed: estrogen receptor (ER)-α, proges-
teron receptor (PR)-A and -B, breast cancer gene-1 (BRCA1), and
Kruppel-like factor-6 (KLF6).

**Treatment with flutamide and dihydrotestosterone (DHT)**

To evaluate the relationship between AR methylation and IGF1R
mRNA levels, M12 cells were treated with 1 μg/ml of 5-Aza for
72 h. Cells were then treated with DHT (10^{-5} M) and/or with the
AR inhibitor flutamide (10^{-5} M) for 24 h. At the end of the
incubation period the cells were harvested and total RNA was
prepared for Quantitative real-time PCR. All experiments were
conducted in triplicate dishes and repeated at least three times.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Quantitative real-time PCR was done using Power SYBR green PCR
master (Applied Biosystems). An ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System was used. The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA levels were measured to nor-
malize the IGF1R mRNA values. The primers sequences used for
IGF1R mRNA were: sense, GAAGTGGAACCCTCCTCTC; antisense,
CTCTCGCTTCCGTATTTTG. The primers sequences used for
GAPDH mRNA were: sense, GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC; antisense,
GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC. Amplification was carried out after
an incubation of 2 min at 50 °C and 10 min at 95 °C, followed by
40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, 1 min at 55 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C. The
number of PCR cycles to reach the fluorescence threshold was the
cycle threshold (Ct). Each cDNA sample was tested in triplicate and
mean Ct values are reported. Furthermore, for each reaction, a “no
template” sample was included as a negative control. Fold-
differences were calculated using the 2^{ΔΔCt} method.

**Results**

**Analysis of AR gene methylation in prostate cancer cells**

The AR gene has been shown to undergo methylation in ~8–28% of
prostate cancer cases. To evaluate in a systematic manner the
effect of the epigenetic regulation of the AR promoter during the
progression of prostate cancer from benign to metastatic stages,
the P69-derived series of syngeneic prostate cancer cell lines was
employed. This series includes the P69 (immortalized, non-
tumorigenic), M2205 and M2182 (tumorigenic but rarely to
non-metastatic), and M12 (metastatic) cell lines. In addition, AR
promoter methylation was examined in the PC3, C4-2, and DU145

---

### Table 1 – Primer sets and PCR conditions for AR promoter methylation analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Annealing (°C)</th>
<th>Product size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR-WT F</td>
<td>CGCCCCCTCGGAGATCCGG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-WT R</td>
<td>CCGGGCGGCGCCCTGCAAGCGCC</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-UF</td>
<td>TGGTTTTTTGGATTGTGG</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-UR</td>
<td>CAAAACAAACTTTAAAACCA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-MF</td>
<td>CTTTTTTTGACGATTGTCC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-MR</td>
<td>CGAAGCACGACTTGGAAACCG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 – Primer sets and PCR conditions for IGF1R promoter methylation analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Annealing (°C)</th>
<th>Product size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-1WT-F</td>
<td>TATTTGGCAACAGCTGCAAAGAAATGAA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>178 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-1WT-R</td>
<td>GCGGGCGGCGCCCTGCAAGCGCC</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-1MF</td>
<td>TATTTTTGTAATCTGTAAGAAATGAA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-1MR</td>
<td>AAAAAAAATATAAATCAAAAAAAA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2WT-F</td>
<td>TCTTTGGGCAACAGCCTCCTGGTTTTTGG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>178 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2WT-R</td>
<td>GCTAAACGAGACGACACCT</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2U-F</td>
<td>TTTTTGGGAAATTGCTTGGTTTTTGG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2U-R</td>
<td>GCTAAACGAGACTTGGTTTTT</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2M-F</td>
<td>TTTTTGGGAAATTGCTTGGTTTTTGG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R-2M-R</td>
<td>GCTAAACGAGACTTGGTTTTT</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prostate cancer cell lines. In initial experiments, P69, M12, PC3, C4-2 and DU145 cells were treated with the demethylating agent 5-Aza for 72 h, after which the cells were lysed and AR levels were measured by Western blots. As shown in Fig. 1A, 5-Aza treatment resulted in a marked increase in AR levels in the M12 and DU145 cell lines. To establish whether this increase was associated with an elevation in AR activity, P69 and M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or vehicle) for 72 h. Cells were then transfected with an AR luciferase reporter vector including a tandem probasin promoter sequence (AAR3). After 24 h, cells were treated with 10^{-9} M DHT (or vehicle) in the absence or presence of flutamide, an AR inhibitor. Luciferase assays revealed that 5-Aza plus DHT led to a significant increase in AAR3-luc activity (p < 0.001) compared to 5-Aza-treated cells without DHT (Fig. 1B). This activity was blocked by flutamide. No responses were seen in P69 cells and there was also no response in M12 cells when DHT was added if not treated with AZA (data not shown).

Next, we determined the methylation status of the AR promoter using methylation-specific PCR (MSP) and direct DNA sequencing. A 213-bp fragment located approximately 400 bp upstream of the transcription start site of the AR gene (NCBI accession number M23263) was selected [23]. This promoter fragment includes 21 CpG loci. The details of primers and PCR conditions are listed in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 2, unmethylated specific primers were able to amplify PCR products in DNA obtained from the P69, M2182, M2205, and PC3 cell lines, but not in DNA from the M12 and DU145 cell lines. Conversely, methylated specific primers were able to generate PCR products in DNA from M12 and DU145, but not from the other, cells. Direct DNA sequencing of sodium bisulfite-treated DNA confirmed that the AR gene is methylated in the metastatic M12 and DU145 cell lines but unmethylated in the other cell lines (Fig. 3).

Search for IGF1R methylation in prostate cancer progression

To evaluate the regulation of IGF1R expression during prostate cancer progression, total IGF1R levels were measured in the P69-derived cell lines. Results of Western blots showed that progression towards metastatic stages was correlated with a drastic reduction in total IGF1R levels (Fig. 4). This decline was correlated with a concomitant reduction in basal phospho-IGF1R values, reflecting a decrease in IGF1R activation. Specifically, P69 cells express high levels of total-and phospho-IGF1R, M2205 and M2182 cells express intermediate levels, and M12 cells express very low levels of both total and phosphorylated (activated) receptor. AR levels were very low in all four cell lines, though a consistent decrease in AR levels was seen in M12 cells. Similar results were seen using immunoprecipitation assays (data not shown). For comparative purposes, IGF1R expression and activation in additional prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, DU145, and C4-2) is shown in the right panel of Fig. 4A. Some of these results replicate previously reported findings [29]. To evaluate the potential effect of 5-Aza on IGF1R promoter activity, P69 and M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or left untreated) and, after 24 h, cells were transiently transfected with a proximal IGF1R promoter-luciferase reporter construct [p(−476/+640)LUC], along with a β-galactosidase plasmid. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection for luciferase and β-galactosidase assays. Results of promoter assays revealed a ~162% increase in IGF1R promoter activity in M12 cells, in comparison to a ~124% increase in P69 cells (Fig. 4B).

The IGF1R promoter region is extremely GC-rich (approximately 70–75% GC content in the human, rat, and mouse genes). Bioinformatic analysis of the human promoter region revealed the presence of BRCA1 sites.
of multiple CpG dinucleotides (Fig. 5). To establish whether the decrease in IGF1R levels in prostate cancer metastatic cells was associated with DNA methylation-induced IGF1R gene silencing, we evaluated the methylation status of the IGF1R gene in all four P69-derived cell lines and in the PC3, C4-2 and DU145 prostate cancer cells using MSP and direct DNA sequencing. For this purpose, the IGF1R promoter sequence (NCBI accession number NM_000875) was searched, and two CpG island-containing fragments were selected for further analysis. Fragment 1 is a 173-bp fragment located approximately 400 bp upstream of the transcription start site, which includes 7 CpG loci. Fragment 2 is a 201-bp fragment which begins at position $-150$ in the 5′ flanking region and overlaps the transcription start site. This fragment includes 36 CpG loci (Fig. 5). The details of primers, PCR conditions, and PCR product sizes for Fragments 1 and 2 are listed in Table 2A and B, respectively. MSP and direct DNA sequencing was performed with DNA obtained from all seven cell lines (P69, M2128, M2205, M12, PC3, C4-2, and DU145). Results of MSP showed DNA amplification when using unmethylated specific primers whereas no amplification was seen when using methylated specific primers (Fig. 6). Furthermore, PCR sequencing analysis of both fragments showed that all cytosines (C) were converted to thymines (T) in all 43 CpG loci. An example of direct DNA sequencing of Fragment 2 is shown in Fig. 7. All eight Cs in this particular fragment were deaminated and converted to Ts in both cell lines. Hence, this data indicates that the IGF1R promoter is unmethylated in all of the prostate cancer cell lines examined.

Is AR gene methylation associated with loss of function of AR in M12 cells?

Given that IGF1R levels and IGF1 responsiveness are reduced in M12 cells (expressing a methylated AR promoter) in comparison to P69 cells (expressing an unmethylated AR gene), we postulated that AR methylation may lead to AR silencing, with ensuing downregulation of the IGF1R gene, a bona fide AR target. In order to evaluate whether AR promoter methylation alters AR function, M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza for 72 h. Cells were further incubated with or without DHT ($10^{-9}$ M), in the absence or presence of the AR inhibitor flutamide for 24 h. The rationale for this experiment resides in the fact that DHT binds to AR, leading to transcriptional activation of the IGF1R gene (Schayek et al., manuscript submitted). The AR inhibitor was expected to abolish IGF1R gene transactivation. Following incubation, cells were harvested and IGF1R mRNA levels were measured by qPCR. No significant change in IGF1R mRNA level as a result of DHT or flutamide addition was seen in untreated M12 cells (Fig. 8A). In contrast, 5-Aza treatment, which activated AR function, caused a significant (~50%) increase in basal IGF1R mRNA level, while DHT
addition leads to a further increase (∼70%) in mRNA level.

However, the addition of flutamide, which inhibits AR function, decreased IGF1R mRNA values to the basal levels measured prior to the 5-Aza treatment. Finally, we evaluated the effect of 5-Aza on the IGF1-stimulated IGF1R phosphorylation. For this purpose, M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or left untreated, control) for 48 h, after which cells were treated with IGF1 for an additional 24 h. As shown in Fig. 8B, IGF1 treatment led to a marked induction of IGF1R phosphorylation in both 5-Aza-treated and control cells.

**Fig. 4** – Expression of AR and IGF1R in prostate cancer cell lines. (A) Cells were lysed in the presence of protease inhibitors, as indicated under Materials and methods. Equal amounts of protein (80 µg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose filters, and blotted with anti-IGF1R, anti-phospho (p)-IGF1R, anti-AR, and anti-tubulin. (B) Effect of 5-Aza treatment on IGF1R promoter activity. P69 and M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or left untreated) and, after 24 h, cells were transiently transfected with a proximal IGF1R promoter-luciferase reporter construct [p(−476/+640)LUC], along with a β-galactosidase plasmid. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection for luciferase and β-galactosidase assays. *p < 0.02 versus respective control.

**Fig. 5** – Schematic diagram of the IGF1R proximal promoter showing the location of primer set 1 and primer set 2. Set 1 (light gray) is a 173-bp fragment located ∼400 bp upstream of the transcription start site (marked as +1). Set 2 (dark gray) is a 201-bp fragment which begins at position −150 in the 5′ flanking region and overlaps the transcription start site (arrow). Region 1 contains 7 CpG loci (marked in red) and region 2 contains 36 CpG loci.

**Fig. 6** – Assessment of IGF1R promoter methylation in prostate cancer cell lines using methylation-specific PCR. PCR was performed using unmethylated (U) and methylated (M) IGF1R specific primers (set 2). PCR products in lanes U, but not in lanes M, indicate the presence of an unmethylated allele in all prostate cancer cell lines tested. As positive controls we are showing the PCR products of the progesterone receptors A and B (PR-A, PR-B) promoters, showing methylation in all of the cell lines.

addition leads to a further increase (∼70%) in mRNA level. However, the addition of flutamide, which inhibits AR function, decreased IGF1R mRNA values to the basal levels measured prior to the 5-Aza treatment. Finally, we evaluated the effect of 5-Aza on the IGF1-stimulated IGF1R phosphorylation. For this purpose, M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or left untreated, control) for 48 h, after which cells were treated with IGF1 for an additional 24 h. As shown in Fig. 8B, IGF1 treatment led to a marked induction of IGF1R phosphorylation in both 5-Aza-treated and control cells.
Fig. 7 – Sodium bisulfite-DNA sequencing analysis of the IGF1R promoter. Genomic DNA was extracted from P69 and M12 cells and modified with sodium bisulfite as described in Materials and methods. PCR was performed using a set of primers encompassing region 2 of the proximal IGF1R promoter. Sodium bisulfite-PCR products were sequenced using the BigDye terminator method. (A) Unmodified DNA obtained from P69 cells. (B) Sodium bisulfite-modified DNA from P69 cells. (C) Sodium bisulfite-modified DNA from M12 cells.

Fig. 8 – Effect of AR methylation on IGF1R mRNA levels and IGF1-induced IGF1R activation. (A) M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (1 μg/ml) for 72 h (black bars), or left untreated (gray bars), after which cells were treated with or without DHT (10^{-9} M) and/or flutamide (10^{-5} M) for 24 h. Twenty-four hours after the treatment, cells were harvested, and IGF1R mRNA levels were measured by qPCR. *p < 0.02 versus M12-AZA cells. (B) Effect of 5-Aza on IGF1-induced IGF1R phosphorylation. M12 cells were treated with 5-Aza (or left untreated, control) for 48 h, after which cells were treated with IGF1 for an additional 24 h. Total and phospho-IGF1R levels were measured by Western immunoblots.
Discussion

DNA methylation is a major epigenetic alteration affecting gene expression. Methylation involves the addition of methyl groups to the 5-carbon of deoxycytosines in the palindromic dinucleotide CpG. Methylation of CpG islands leads to inactivation of gene transcription [19,20] and plays a critical role during development by establishing haploid gene dosage and, in the long-term, repression of selected genes. CpG islands are mostly unmethylated in normal tissues and hypermethylated in various human cancers [19,21,22]. Promoter CpG island hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes is a common hallmark of all human cancers and affects most cellular pathways. In addition to classical antioncogenes, methylation involves genes in DNA repair pathways, microRNAs, and genes involved in premature aging. In the specific case of prostate cancer, DNA methylation of the ERα and β promoters appears to play a role in the inactivation of the ER gene [30,31]. Aberrant CpG methylation in prostate cancer was also described for GSTP1 in ~90% of the cases, for RASSF1A in ~63%, and for RARβ2 in ~79% [32,33]. Other examples of genes frequently silenced in prostate cancer are APC, MGMT, and MDR1 [34,35]. AR promoter methylation and gene inactivation have been detected in about 8–28% of prostate tumor samples [23,24]. Kinoshita el al. reported that 4 of 15 tumors obtained from men who had died from hormone-independent prostate cancer demonstrated a significant loss of AR expression and two (50%) of these AR-negative tumors contained AR methylation.

The present study identifies AR promoter methylation as a defined critical event in the progression of prostate cancer epithelial cells from an early, benign stage to an advanced, metastatic stage. Specifically, AR promoter methylation was detected in the metastatic M12 and DU145 cell lines, whereas tumorigenic but non-metastatic M2205 and M2218 as well as benign (P69) cells, display an unmethylated AR promoter. PC3 cells, usually regarded as metastatic, do not fit this interpretation as they were shown to exhibit an unmethylated AR. In addition, we showed that 5-Aza treatment of M12 cells, which caused demethylation of the AR promoter, leads to a significant increase in IGF1R mRNA levels, whereas addition of the AR inhibitor flutamide decreased the mRNA levels to the basal values measured prior to the 5-Aza treatment. IGF1R gene silencing is probably one of the critical consequences of the AR methylation-induced dysregulation of AR targets. The fact that changes in IGF1R mRNA levels are not seen at the protein level could probably be the result of differential expression of various splice variants which have been shown to differ in their degradation rates. Alternatively, the fact that IGF1R protein is constitutively present at high levels in cancer cells may obscure the visualization of further increments in protein amounts.

In the context of the IGF system, DNA methylation plays an important role in IGF2 gene regulation. The IGF2 gene constitutes one of the classical examples of imprinted genes. Loss-of-imprinting (LOI) leads to biallelic expression of the IGF2 gene, thus providing a proliferative advantage to transformed cells by elevating the levels of available IGF2 ligand. IGF2 LOI is an important mechanism in the etiology of various overgrowth syndromes (e.g., Beckwith–Wiedemann) and neoplasia (e.g., Wilms’ tumors) [36,37]. Likewise, the IGF2/mannose-6-phosphate receptor gene is also methylated, being its expression dependent on an intronic CpG island [38]. The IGF2 and IGF1R genes include GC-rich, TATA-less promoters. Furthermore, a number of transcription factors, including Sp1, p53, Wilms’ tumor-1 (WT1), and others, were shown to be involved in regulation of gene expression of both genes. Despite this overlap in transcriptional mechanisms, and in spite of the overall similarity in IGF2 and IGF1R promoters architectures, our results showed that the IGF1R promoter is unmethylated at all stage of the disease.

The interplay between the androgen and IGF1 systems is of major importance in prostate cancer. However, the mechanisms by which IGF1R signaling interacts with AR action, and vice versa, are still a matter of debate [29]. The hypothesis that growth factors can substitute for signaling from the AR and be the driving force in androgen-independent prostate cancer was postulated more than a decade ago [39]. However, the finding that AR is consistently increased in androgen-independent prostate cancer led to the question as to what was stimulating AR signaling if the patient had been castrated and testosterone was no longer present [40]. A number of reports have identified several cytokines that are able to activate AR in the absence of androgens. Considerable data suggest that in the absence of androgens, signaling through the IGF1R can enhance AR transcriptional activity [41,42]. In addition, IGF1R activation leads to AR phosphorylation and increased nuclear translocation. Inhibition of IGF signaling, on the other hand, may lead to cytoplasmic AR retention, with ensuing changes in expression and/or activation of androgen-regulated genes. Interestingly, androgens were shown to control IGF1R expression via genomic and non-genomic pathways [16]. The present study identifies epigenetic mechanisms as a novel level of regulation in the control of the AR–IGF1R loop in prostate cancer progression.

In addition, we evaluated the methylation status of the BRCA1 and KLF6 promoters in prostate cancer. The rationale for these analyses was the fact that these transcription factors were previously identified as upstream regulators of the IGF1R gene in prostate cells [18,43,44]. In addition, a number of studies have shown that BRCA1 and KLF6 are involved in prostate cancer biology [18,45,46]. Our analyses, however, provide no evidence of methylation of the BRCA1 gene (Fig. 2) and KLF6 gene (data not shown) in any prostate cancer cell line examined. Likewise, the ERα promoter was unmethylated in all of the cell lines assayed (not shown). On the other hand, the PR-A and PR-B promoters were methylated in all prostate cancer cell lines, regardless of their tumorigenicity and metastatic capacity (Fig. 6). Hence, the methylation status of the PR genes cannot explain the change in IGF1R levels during disease progression.

In summary, our results demonstrate that progression of prostate cancer from a benign stage (P69 cells) to a metastatic one (M12 cells) is associated with hypermethylation of the AR gene. On the other hand, the low IGF1R levels seen at metastatic prostate cancer stages are most probably not caused by direct methylation of the IGF1R promoter. Given that the IGF1R gene has been identified as a downstream target for AR action, our data is consistent with a model in which AR gene methylation during progression of the disease leads to dysregulation of AR targets, including the IGF1R gene, at metastatic stages.
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